

a)  $\text{send}(A, B, PK_B) == \text{out net } A; \text{ in net } y; \text{ new } s; (\text{out net } \langle |s, y| \rangle_{PK_B}; | \text{secret}(s) )$

$\text{Recv}(A, B, SK_B) == \text{in net } x; \text{ new } n; \text{ out net } n; \text{ in net } z; \text{ decrypt } z \text{ is } \langle |z, n| \rangle_{SK_B}; \text{ split } z, \text{ is } (z_2, z_3); \text{ if } z_3 = n \text{ then stop}$

$P == \text{new } A, B, B; ! \text{send}(A, B, \text{Enc}(B)) ! \text{Recv}(A, B, \text{Dec}(B))$

assuming the parameters are given

here, we have secrecy; since the message is encrypted with Bob's key, it can only be decrypted by Bob (and hence not by the attacker)

b)  $\text{send} == \text{out net } A; \text{ in net } y; \text{ new } s; \text{ out net } \langle |s, y| \rangle_{PK_B};$

$\text{Recv} == \text{in net } x; \text{ new } n; \text{ out net } n; \text{ in net } z; \text{ decrypt } z \text{ is } \langle |z, n| \rangle_{SK_B}; \text{ split } z, \text{ is } (z_2, z_3); \text{ if } z_3 = n \text{ then } \text{secret}(z_2)$

$P = ! \text{send} ! \text{Recv}$

This time, we have an on-~~net~~ process do the sender's work. This process will be working as follows:

$O == \text{out net } A; \text{ in net } y; \text{ new } s; \text{ out net } \langle |s, y| \rangle_{PK_B}; \text{ out net } s;$

Taking  $P | O$ , we can ~~easy~~ see that

$P | O \equiv P | (\text{Recv} | O) \rightarrow^* \text{secret}(s) | \text{out net } s; | P$  which ~~clearly~~ violates robust safety for secrecy.

since this is an error state.