

SPI calculus \rightarrow successor of lambda calculus for reasoning about programs and processes

PI calculus \rightarrow calculus for modeling concurrent processes

SPI calculus \rightarrow extension of PI calculus with cryptographic primitives

single syntax

operational semantics to model program execution

names n, m, l, k, c, d, e

variables x, y, z

variables are placeholders for names

names represent constants

messages M, N, L, K

basic process; inactivity stop

$P|Q$ P and Q executed in parallel

$!P$ replication, i.e. infinite number of P in parallel

$!$ binds stronger than $|$

if $M=N$ then P continues with P if $M=N$

if binds stronger than $|$

first output M on channel c , then return P $out\ c\ M; P$

$\bar{c}\langle M \rangle; P$

waits for a message to arrive on channel N , $in\ N\ x; P$

takes it and binds into variable x , then continues with P $N(x).P$

in $in\ N\ x; P$, the variable x is a binder whose scope is P

bound variables can be renamed

in this situation, occurrences of x in P are called **bound**

\uparrow i.e. in the same process only!

a variable occurrence is free if it is neither a binder nor a bound variable

a process P is closed if no variable occurrence in P is free

a message M is closed if it does not contain variables

$new\ n; P$ generates a name n whose scope is P and continues with P

$(\nu n); P$ occurrence of n is a binder whose scope is P

bound names are private i.e. unknown to other/parallel processes

\downarrow but not free!

if M is a closed message, we define $\langle M/x \rangle P$ as the process obtained by replacing all free occurrences of x in P by M

equivalent processes:

$$P|Q = Q|P$$

$$(P|Q)|R = P|(Q|R)$$

$$P|stop = P$$

$$!P = P|!P$$

these equivalence laws may be applied anywhere inside process expressions

this equivalence relation is called structural congruence

if n is not free in P , we have

$$P|new\ n; Q = new\ n; (P|Q)$$

this rule is called scope extrusion

\rightarrow Q is what remains of P after performing the step

$P \rightarrow Q$ step relation on closed processes; P can evolve into Q in one step

$P \rightarrow^* Q$ transitive closure of step relation; P can evolve into Q in zero or more steps

i.e. $P \equiv P_0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_n \equiv Q$ for some $n \geq 0$

modulo structural congruence

[A1] if $P \equiv P_1$, $P_1 \rightarrow Q_1$ and $Q_1 \equiv Q$ then $P \rightarrow Q$

[A2] if $P \rightarrow P_1$, then $P|Q \rightarrow P_1|Q$

step rule for new names if $P \rightarrow Q$, then $new\ n; P \rightarrow new\ n; Q$

step rule for conditional (if $M=N$ then P) $\rightarrow P$

step I/O-rule $out\ c\ M; P | in\ c\ x; Q \rightarrow P|KM/x)Q$

who consumes received messages is non-deterministic